UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LUMEN VIEW TECHNOLOGY LLC,

Plaintiff,

1:13-cv-03386-DLC

v.

September 27, 2013

ADICIO, INC., ADVANCE MAGAZINE PUBLISHERS INC. AND PENTON MEDIA.

INC.

Defendants.

JOINT DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS CHART PURSUANT TO LOCAL PATENT RULE 11

Pursuant to Southern District of New York Local Patent Rule 11 (P.R.) and under the Case Management Plan ("CMP") adopted by the Court's Pretrial Scheduling Order of 7/12/2013 (Dkt. 16), Plaintiff Lumen View Technology L.L.C. ("Lumen" or "Plaintiff") and Adicio, Inc. ("Adicio"), Advance Magazine Publishers Inc. ("AMP") and Penton Media, Inc. ("Penton") (collectively, "Defendants")(collectively, the "parties") submit this Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart regarding U.S. Patent No. 8.069,073.

The chart attached as Exhibit A sets forth the claim terms and phrases that the parties request be construed by the Court, as well as each party's proposed construction and cross-reference to each party's identification of the related paragraph(s) of the invalidity and/or infringement contention(s) disclosures under Local Patent Rules 6 and 7. Exhibit A further includes citations to the source of intrinsic and non-expert extrinsic evidence on which the parties currently intend to rely in support of their own proposed constructions or in opposition to the other party's proposed constructions.

As set forth in the Case Management Plan hearing, the parties expect that the Court will take the claim construction on the papers. The parties stand ready to provide information or oral arguments at a hearing at the Court's convenience. Should the Court schedule a *Markman* hearing, the parties estimate that oral argument would take approximately three hours.

At the present time, the parties reserve the right to amend this Joint Disputed Claim Terms Chart, particularly in the event that a party provides notice of its intent to submit an expert declaration with a claim construction brief, or to the extent that the parties are able to later agree on the construction of any terms. Moreover, Plaintiff reserves its right to amend its Preliminary Infringement Contentions ("PICs"), upon receiving from Defendant's discovery responses as to the operation of Defendant's website, software, products or services of the Findthebest.com platform, as its positions are based upon information reasonably and currently available to Lumen. Plaintiff requested discovery information for review of the operation of Defendant's software in providing the accused service or product on July 31, 2013 and again on Sept. 25, 2013, which has not yet been provided but is expected. Lumen intends to provide amended PICs as part of the discovery process and may then submit changes to the position taken, to add or remove claim terms and phrases that the parties request be construed by the Court.

Defendant objects that Plaintiff "reserve[s] its rights to amend, upon receiving Defendant's discovery responses...and may then submit changes to the position taken, to add or remove claim terms and phrases that the parties request be construed by the Court." Plaintiff has represented to this Court and to Defendant's counsel that its Local P.R. 6 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, served August 30, 2013, is adequate and that it has a good faith basis for alleging infringement. Defendant's Local P.R. 7 Invalidity Contentions were served on September 13, 2013.

Plaintiff's proposed constructions of its patent terms should not depend on or change after receiving additional discovery.

Dated: September 27, 2013

AETON LAW PARTNERS LLP

LEVENTHAL LAW LLP

/s/Damian Wasserbauer/

Damian Wasserbauer (DW3507) damian@aetonlaw.com 101 Centerpoint Drive, Ste. #105 Middletown, CT 06457 Telephone: (860) 398-6031

Attorney for Plaintiff Lumen View Technology L.L.C. /s/Joseph S. Leventhal/

Joseph S. Leventhal, Esq. The Leventhal Law Firm, APC 600 West Broadway, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101 jleventhal@leventhallaw.com

Ph: 619.356.3518

Attorney for Defendants Adicio et al.

Case 1:13-cv-03386-DLC Document 32 Filed 09/27/13 Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on September 27, 2013, a copy of the foregoing JOINT DISPUTED

CLAIM TERMS CHART PURSUANT TO LOCAL PATENT RULE 11 was filed

electronically and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic filing. Notice of

this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing

system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice

of Electronic Filing. Parties may access this filing through the Court's CM/ECF System.

By: /s/ Damian Wasserbauer

Damian Wasserbauer (DW3507)

This is to certify that on September 27, 2013, a copy of the foregoing JOINT CLAIM

TERMS CHART PURSUANT TO PATENT LOCAL RULE 11 was filed electronically

and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic filing. Notice of this filing will

be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system or by

mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic

Filing. Parties may access this filing through the Court's CM/ECF System.

By: /s/ Joseph Leventhal Joseph Leventhal

4